INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internation No PCT/US2005/009791

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 H04L12/56

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7-H04L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

C. DOCUM	INTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT
Catagony *	Citation of electronal with indication, subsets appropriate of the rele-

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Raisvant to claim No.
х	US 2003/235175 A1 (NAGHIAN SIAMAK ET AL) 25 December 2003 (2003-12-25) figures 1,2,4 page 1, paragraphs 11,13,15 page 2, paragraphs 24,27 page 3, paragraph 28 page 4, paragraph 40 page 5, paragraph 40 page 5, paragraph 77-81	1-26
A	US 2002/090949 A1 (STANFORTH PETER) 11 July 2002 (2002-07-11) figures 1,3,5 page 1, paragraph 6 page 2, paragraph 12-15 page 3, paragraph 25 page 4, paragraph 37	1–26
	/	

X Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	X Patent family members are listed in annex.
 Speadul ontegories of clied documents: A document cliefling the general sistee of the air which is not considered to be of particular interviewnee. enter document but published on or after the International siting date. October on the published on or after the International siting date. October on the International siting date of the International site of the International site of the International State of International	17 Inter document published after the international filing cities or pixely date and not conflict will like application but or pixely date and not conflict will like application but invention control to expenditure the protection of the protection of the control of confliction relevance; the claim for exercising control or consolor consolor document of the control or control or consolor document is control or cont
Date of the actual completion of the international search 30 June 2005	Date of mailing of the international search report 15/07/2005
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentisan 2 NL – 2299 Ph Plijsvijk; Tet. (+31-70) 341–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340–3016	Authorized officer Mincescu, A

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/US2005/009791

	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Lategory *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
	US 2003/179135 A1 (LOUHI JYRKI TAPIO) 25 September 2003 (2003-09-25) figures 1,2 page 1, paragraph 4-11 page 2, paragraph 11 page 3, paragraph 32 page 4, paragraph 39-43	1-26

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Intermonal Application No	
PCT/US2005/009791	

 Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2003235175	A1	25-12-2003	AU EP WO	2003242883 A1 1527641 A2 2004001519 A2	06-01-2004 04-05-2005 31-12-2003
US 2002090949	AI	11-07-2002	US US AU CA EP JP WO US	2003045295 A1 2003040316 A1 2436102 A 2428405 A1 1344386 A1 2004526341 T 0239710 A1 2002058502 A1 2002058504 A1	06-03-2003 27-02-2003 21-05-2002 16-05-2002 17-09-2003 26-08-2004 16-05-2002 16-05-2002
US 2003179135	A1	25-09-2003	AU WO	2003202114 A1 03081922 A1	08-10-2003 02-10-2003

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

RECTD 13 1111 2005

~~~~~~	***************************************	~~~
WIPO		PCT

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

see form PCT/ISA/220

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference

FOR FURTHER ACTION

see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below

International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US2005/009791 24.03.2005 26.03.2004

international Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04L12/56

Annlicant

To:

QUALCOMM INCORPORATED

<ol> <li>This opinion contains indications relating to the following item</li> </ol>	
	no

Roy No L Basis of the opinion

Rox No. II Priority

☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

□ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application.

#### FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form POT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For turther details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx; 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 · 4465

Authorized Officer

Mircescu, A Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7645

	Box	No. I	Basis of the opinion	
<ol> <li>With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international applicat the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</li> </ol>				
	1	angua	pinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following age , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search Falues 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.		d to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
	a. typ	oe of r	naterial:	
		as	sequence listing	
		tab	ole(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. for	mat o	of material:	
		l in v	written format	
		l in o	computer readable form	
	c. tin	ne of f	illing/furnishing:	
		co	ntained in the international application as filled.	
		file	d together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		l fur	nished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.		☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating there has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.		
4.	Addi	tional	comments:	
	Вох	No. II	Priority	
1.		does : requir	alidity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where eta, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the nprion that the relevant date (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.	
2.		has b	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim een found invalid (Fules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.	

3. Additional observations, if necessary:

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/009791

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-26

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-26

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-26

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Form PCT/ISA/237 (January 2004)

Following document is referenced to:

D1: US 2003/235175 A1 (NAGHIAN SIAMAK ET AL) 25 December 2003 (2003-12-25)

#### A. Citations and explanations with respect to Item V

- Document D1 which is considered to represent the closest prior art discloses
  according to all features of claim 1 a server terminal configured to operate in a cluster
  on a network backbone
  (see D1, figure 1: the WLAN cluster; page 1, paragraph 11: "mobile mesh ad-hoc
  network consists of 1-N clusters ... the backbone access networks"),
  comprising:
  - (a) a user interface configured to transmit and receive communications during a call with a first terminal connected to the network backbone (see D1, figure 1: MN 108; page 1, paragraph 11: "at least one <u>terminal</u>... <u>backbone</u> access networks"; the user interface is implicitly disclosed in the terminal since a terminal in the sense of figure 1 (MN 108) necessarily implies an interface in order to communicate to a user; the call is also implicitly disclosed since a communication between terminals always defines a call); and
  - (b) a processor configured to support an inter-cluster call between second and third terminals by establishing a route on the network backbone for each communication packet transmitted from the second terminal to the third terminal (see D1, figure 1: different clusters: 110, 120, 140, 145; page 3, paragraph 28: "Ad-Hoc network consists of 1-N clusters... Each ad-hoc cell may have at least one terminal as the Trunk Node. The Trunk Node as a gateway between access network ... and the child terminals of that cell": this discloses a terminal of one cluster acting as a gateway (thus also as a router) for routing packets from it's cluster to different clusters, thus acting in an inter-cluster routing process. The processor is implicitly disclosed since any terminal operating a routing protocol necessarily implies at least one processor.).

ì

The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore not new (Art 33(2) PCT).

- Claim 12 relates to a method comprising method features corresponding to the
  apparatus features defined by claim 1. The above objections with respect to claim 1
  are thus applicable mutatis mutandis to this claim. Therefore, the subject matter of
  claim 12 is also not new (Art 33(2) PCT).
- The subject matter of claim 25 is equivalent to the subject matter of claim 1. The
  above objections with respect to claim 1 are thus also applicable to this claim.
  Therefore, the subject matter of claim 25 is also not new (Art 33(2) PCT).
- The subject matter of claim 26 is equivalent to the subject matter of claim 12. The
  above objections with respect to claim 12 are thus also applicable to this claim.
  Therefore, the subject matter of claim 26 is also not new (Art 33(2) PCT).
- 5. Dependent claims 2-11 and 13-24 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, are novel for the reason that the subject matter of said claims is disclosed in document D1 (see in particular figures 1, 2, and 4; page 1, paragraphs 11, 13, and 15; page 2, paragraphs 24 and 27; page 3, paragraph 28; page 4, paragraph 40; page 5, paragraphs 47 and 48; page 8, paragraphs 77-81).

The subject matter of dependent claims 2-11 and 13-24 is therefore not new, Art 33(2) PCT.

#### B. Explanations with respect to Item VII

Although claims 1 and 25 on one hand and 12 and 26 on the other hand have been
drafted as separate independent claims, respectively, they appear to relate effectively
to the same subject matter, respectively, and to differ from each other only in respect
of the terminology used for the features of that subject matter, respectively. The
aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness, respectively, and as such do not
meet the requirements of Art 6 PCT, respectively.

Ĵ

- The applicant's attention is drawn to the following matters, which should as well be considered:
- 2.1 To meet the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in document D1 should be acknowledged in the description.
- 2.2 The opening part of the description should be brought into conformity with the wording of any new or amended independent claim, Rule 5.1(a)(iii) PCT.
- 2.3 To meet the requirements of Rule 6.3(b) PCT, any independent claim should be correctly cast in the two-part form, with those features which in combination are part of the nearest prior art being placed in the preamble.
- 2.4 Reference signs in parentheses should be inserted in all claims to increase their intelligibility, Rule 6.2(b) PCT. This applies both to the preamble and to the characterizing portion.
- 2.5 The vague and imprecise statement in the description on page 3, paragraph 9 and on page 16, paragraph 56 implies that the subject matter for which protection is sought may be different to that defined by the claims, thereby resulting in lack of clarity (Art 6 PCT) when used to interpret them.
- The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that the application may not be amended in such a way that it contains subject matter which extends beyond the content of the application as filed. Art 34(2)(b) PCT.
  - In his letter of reply, the applicant should indicate the parts of the originally filed application serving as a basis for subject matter newly introduced into the claims.
- The applicant is requested to file amendments by way of replacement pages in accordance with Rule 66.8 PCT.